

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(103)

CWP-19896-2017

Date of decision : 20.03.2023

Dr. M.S.Punia

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. R.P.Dangi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing impugned suspension order dated 25.05.2017, Annexure P-1.

After issuance of notice of motion, this Court vide order dated 15.09.2017 stayed the operation of suspension order, Annexure P-1.

State counsel has placed on record a copy of communication dated 17.03.2023, which is taken on record, relevant extract of which is as under:-

“In this regard, it is submitted that as the suspension order was stayed by the Hon’ble High Court vide order dated 15.09.2017 and therefore, no subsistence allowance was given to the above said doctor and further the full salary was disbursed to Dr. M.S.Punia for the period i.e. May, 2017 to Sep. 2017. As no subsistence allowance was given to Dr. M.S.Punia for the period from May, 2017 to September, 2017, and full salary for the above mentioned period was paid on 26.10.2017, therefore, there does not appear any need to pass fresh order for treating the above said period as duty period as the charge sheet issued to Dr. M.S.Punia stands filed and full salary for the period from May, 2017 to Sep. 2017 has been paid.”

In view of above, nothing survives in the petition, which is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

20.03.2023
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes/No