

212 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34647-2023
Date of decision: 09.11.2023

VINOD KUMAR

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Sandeep Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Surinder Kumar Dagar, DAG, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. On 11.08.2023, the following order was passed:-

“1. Petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No. 83 dated 06.04.2023 under Sections 354-A, 420, 468, 471 and 34 IPC registered at police Station Civil Lines, Rohtak (Annexure P-1).

2. Reply by way of affidavit of Vivek Kundu, H.P.S. Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rohtak on behalf of respondent-State has been filed in Court, which is taken on record.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner and the complainant were live-in-relationship for last more than 10 years and there had been multiple litigations between them. The complainant is employed in Haryana Police and even, at the earlier instance, she had lodged two FIRs with regard to the commission of offence under Section 354-D IPC against the petitioner. Besides, his sister had also lodged similar FIR against the petitioner and he is on bail in all those cases. The allegation in the instant case is to the effect that the petitioner had written the mobile number of the complainant and her sister with a vulgar messages in the washroom of the train and the complainant had been getting calls from different numbers. Further, the petitioner is ready and willing to give specimen hand writing. The petitioner has been falsely implicated in the instant case and is ready and willing to furnish his specimen handwriting.

4. Adjourned to 02.11.2023.

5. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join investigation and in the event of his arrest, he shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of the Investigating Officer/Arresting Officer subject to the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure.”

CRM-M-34647-2023

-2-

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner has joined the investigation in pursuance of interim directions.
3. On instructions from ASI Renu, learned State counsel has not disputed the aforesaid factual aspects and also submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.
4. In view of the aforesaid submissions, the order dated 11.08.2023, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute.
5. The petition is allowed.

09.11.2023
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No