

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-35530-2023**

**Date of decision: 25.08.2023**

**Harkamal and others**

**....Petitioners.**

**Versus**

**State of Punjab and another**

**....Respondents.**

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY**

Present:- Ms. Harjot Goyal, Advocate, for  
Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate,  
for the petitioners.

Mr. Harpreet Singh, Addl. AG, Punjab.

Ms. Kanchan Gautam, Advocate,  
for Mr. J.S. Thakur, Advocate for  
complainant-respondent No. 2

.....

**SANJIV BERRY, J.**

This is a petition filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, for quashing of FIR No. 99 dated 20.07.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 451, 506, 336, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Jodhewal, District Ludhiana, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) executed between the parties.

[2]. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, vide report dated 18.08.2023 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure.

CRM-M-35530-2023

-2-

[3] In compliance to the order dated 25.07.2023 passed by this Court, learned counsel for the petitioners has produced the receipt of Rs. 10,000/- deposited with the Poor Patients Welfare Fund, PGIMER, Chandigarh.

[4]. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

[5]. In view of the aforesaid report of learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in "Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and "Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another", (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping with the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant-respondent No. 2 has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/accused persons.

[6]. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. FIR No. 99 dated 20.07.2019 under Sections 323, 324, 451, 506, 336, 148 and 149 IPC, registered at Police Station Jodhewal, District Ludhiana, Punjab (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise dated 30.01.2023 (Annexure P-2) qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)  
JUDGE

25.08.2023  
preeti

|     |                            |        |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i)  | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |