

CWP-19772-2017 and other connected petitions

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 18.05.2023

1. CWP-19772-2017

MURTI DEVI ...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

2. CWP-9367-2018

MAHAVIR SINGH ...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

3. CWP-10438-2018

DHANNI DEVI ...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

4. CWP-8101-2018

KAMLA ...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

5. CWP-8102-2018

SEWA RAM ...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS ...Respondents

CWP-19772-2017 and other connected petitions**6. CWP-14594-2018**

ISHWAR SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

7. CWP-22933-2018

ANGREJO

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. R.K.Chaudhary, Advocate,
for the petitioners in all the petitions.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. This order of mine shall dispose of the above noted writ petitions, as similar questions of law and facts are involved therein. However, for facility of reference, the facts are being taken from CWP-19772-2017.

2. The only grievance of the petitioner is that the petitioner, who was appointed in August, 1982, was regularized w.e.f. 01.04.2011 whereas respondent No.4, who is junior to the petitioner, was regularized w.e.f. 01.03.2002.

3. Learned State counsel points out that though services of the petitioner have been regularized, yet she claims ante-date regularization. She further contends that the order dated 14.03.2002, regularizing the services of respondent No.4 has been withdrawn. She further contends

that respondent No.4 has already filed CWP-9327-2019 challenging the

CWP-19772-2017 and other connected petitions

withdrawal of the order dated 14.03.2002, which is still pending adjudication.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties.
5. By way of filing the present petition, the petitioner seeks regularization of her services w.e.f. 01.03.2002 i.e. the date when services of respondent No.4, who is junior to the petitioner, were regularized. During pendency of the present petition, the order dated 14.03.2002, regularizing the services of respondent No.4 w.e.f. 01.03.2002, has been withdrawn.
6. This Court is conscious of the fact that respondent No.4 has also approached this Court by way of CWP-9327-2019 challenging the withdrawal of the order dated 14.03.2002.
7. In view of the fact that the withdrawal of order regularizing the services of respondent No.4, has been challenged by way of CWP-9327-2019, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to file a fresh one, if necessity arises, after the decision of CWP-9327-2019.

18.05.2023
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No