

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

246

CRM M-40003 of 2021

Date of Decision:30.10.2023

Parkash Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. H.S. Deol, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. M.S. Bajwa, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. K.S. Brar, Advocate,
for respondents No. 6 to 9.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. with a prayer to issue appropriate directions to respondents No. 2 to 5 to take appropriate action and to submit a status report with regard to the investigation of the FIR No. 174 dated 20.07.2020 under Sections 302, 148 and 149 IPC and Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Tara (Annexure P-1).

2. Learned State counsel submits that in the present case, three accused, namely, Inderjit Singh, Jugraj Singh and Amar Singh, have already been arrested by the police whereas the four accused namely, Gurcharan Singh, Harpreet Singh, Gurmeet Singh and Buta Singh have been declared to be innocent in the present case. Learned

State counsel further contends that accused Gurpreet Singh @ Gora, Jugraj Singh and Bhupinder Singh have been declared as “proclaimed offenders” and one FIR No. 98 dated 05.06.2023 under Section 174-A IPC, Police Station Sadar Patti, District Tarn Taran has been registered against them. He further contends that a supplementary challan under Section 173(8) Cr.P.C. has already been presented on 27.09.2023. He further contends that every possible attempt is being made to arrest the above named three accused and despite strenuous efforts, they have not been arrested so far. He further submits that several efforts are being undertaken by the Investigating Agency to arrest the said accused and intimation has already been circulated to all the SHOs in the State of Punjab to arrest the said accused.

3. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required at this stage. However, the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail his alternative remedy before the trial Court, in accordance with law.

4. Since, the learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondents No. 6 to 9 are openly threatening the present petitioner, so, respondent No. 3 is directed to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioner/complainant.

5. Disposed off in above terms.

30.10.2023
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No