

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(216)

CWP-17940-2018

Date of decision: - 10.03.2023

Harbhajan Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Ms. Vibhuti Naraina, Advocate
for Mr. Parunjeet Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order dated 17.05.2018 (Annexure P-8) passed by respondent No.1 whereby the appeal filed by the petitioner under Section 20(6) of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 against the order dated 11.12.2017 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.2 has been dismissed.

Learned State counsel has pointed out that in the present case, the petitioner was elected as Panch in the year 2013 and his tenure has elapsed and the challenge in the present writ petition is to the

suspension order of the petitioner as Panch, thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the above-said fact.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present writ petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

March 10, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No