

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-7432-CWP-2023 and
CM-11478-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-19579-2017

Date of decision : 05.10.2023

Chief General Manager, Dainik Jagran

..... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal cum Labour Court and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSH BUNGER

Present :- Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate
for non applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Tejasvi Sheokhand, Advocate for
Ms. Amrita Garg, Advocate
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Amit Kaith, Advocate
for applicant-respondent No. 2.

-. -

-. -

HARSH BUNGER, J. (ORAL)

CM-11478-CWP-2023

This is an application filed under Order 22 (4) of Civil Procedure Code for bringing on record the legal representative of respondent No. 2-workman (Anjani Kumar Upadhyay).

Learned counsel appearing for non applicant-petitioner submits that he has no objection if the instant application is allowed.

In view of the above and also for the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed and Mrs. Sukh Varsha is ordered to be brought on record as legal representative of respondent No. 2-workman (Anjani Kumar Upadhyay) only for the purpose of the instant litigation.

Application is disposed of.

CWP-19579-2017

1. In pursuance of the order dated 25.08.2023, passed by this Court, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has brought two cheques i.e. cheques No. 081201 and 081202, dated 20.09.2023, drawn on Central Bank of India, in favour of Mrs. Sukh Varsha (legal representative of respondent No. 2-workman), amounting to Rs. 1,35,827/- and Rs. 91,073/- respectively, the originals whereof have been handed over to learned counsel for respondent No. 2-workman in Court today itself and photocopy of the same has been handed over to the Court, which is taken on record subject to all just exceptions.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of order dated 25.08.2023, passed by this Court, the total settlement arrived at between the parties was for Rs. 8,00,000/-, out of which an amount of Rs. 5,73,100/- was paid before the Executing Court and the balance amount (Rs. 2,26,900/-) has been paid vide aforesaid two cheques.

3. The aforesaid fact is not disputed by learned counsel for respondent No. 2-workman. Learned counsel for respondent No. 2-workman further submits that in view of the payment of said entire amount of Rs. 8,00,000/-, all claims of respondent No. 2-workman stand settled and nothing is due from the petitioner-Management.

4. In view of the aforesaid submission, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the instant writ petition.

5. In view of the settlement between the parties and also the submissions made by counsel for petitioner as well as counsel for respondent No. 2, the instant writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn.
6. All pending application(s), if any, shall stand closed.

(HARSH BUNGER)

JUDGE

05.10.2023

sjks

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No