

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(222)

CWP-6827-2012 (O&M)

Date of decision: - 06.02.2023

Sucha Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. S.S. Majithia, Advocate
for Mr. Vaneet Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Piyush Khanna, Advocate,
for respondent-UOI.

Mr. Samuel Gill, Advocate
for Mr. R.D. Bawa, Advocate,
for respondents No.3 and 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.2 to 4 to take immediate steps to provide basic amenities to sewerage to the people like the petitioner who are residents of Kot Mit Singh, Amritsar to avoid spread of any epidemic.

Learned counsels for the respondents have submitted that nothing survives in the present writ petition and the same has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner has submitted that he is not in touch with the petitioner, thus, prayed that the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, but however, a liberty be granted to the petitioner for revival of the present writ petition in case any cause survives.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous, however, the liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present writ petition in case any cause survives.

Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of in view of the above-said order.

February 06, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No