

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23114-2016

Date of decision : 16.02.2023

Nanak Chand and others

..... Petitioners

versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Arora, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. H.S. Rakhra, Advocate for
Mr. M.C. Berry, Advocate
for respondents No.1 and 2.

Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Shobhit Sharma, Advocate
for respondents No.3, 4 to 7, 10 to 15, 17 to 23.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

The respondents have responded to the plea raised by the petitioner in the writ petition in the following terms:-

“That the claim of the petitioner is not legally tenable. They have no cause of action to file the present writ petition. It is submitted that the Respondent Corporation has already made appointments on the post of Lineman by appointing the reserve category candidates on general roster points, who have secured higher marks than the last candidate selected in general category. The respondents have not deviated from the law while preparing the merit list. No reserve category candidate having higher marks than the general category has been appointed against the reserved vacancy. All such candidates were considered by virtue in the general category. The petitioners were not found entitled for the appointment being lower in merit than the selected candidates. The merit status of the petitioners has been given in the reply on merits.

2.
- In view thereof, counsel for the petitioners does not dispute that nothing survives in the present writ petition and the same is hereby dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
3.
- Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

16.02.2023
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No