

236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20066 of 2019
Date of Decision : 09.10.2023**

Jasbir Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Kusum Raj, Advocate for
Mr. A. D. S. Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun William, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.5.

Mr. B. B. S. Randhawa, Advocate
for respondents No.6 to 10.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed for setting aside/quashing of the impugned order dated 10.07.2019 (Annexure P-10) whereby respondent No.3 has appointed respondent No.5 as Administrator for the village against the instructions dated 25.04.2012 (Annexure P-11) whereby it is incumbent upon respondent No.3 to grant appropriate opportunity to the Gram Panchayat to perform the specific act for which act administrator is sought to be appointed under Section 200(1) of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, as the Sarpanch has the majority and had passed the resolution dated 06.07.2019 (Annexure P-8) for carrying out such work.

Further prayer has been made for staying the impugned order dated 10.07.2019 (Annexure P-10).

Learned counsel for the State, on instructions from Jasbir Kaur, BDPO, Block Majitha, District Amritsar, has submitted before this Court that respondent No.5 is no more working as Administrator and presently, it is the elected Panchayat, who is working.

Learned counsel for respondents No.6 to 10 also submits that as the Administrator is no more on the post and the Panchayat is working, thus, the present petition is rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of as such.

In view of the submissions made by learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

09.10.2023
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No