

103

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CM-21230-CWP-2023 in/and
CWP-19301-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.12.2023

Rajju Kumari

. . . . Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

. . . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr. Surinder Mohan Sharma, Advocate,
for the applicant/petitioner.

Mr. Paramjit Batta, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

None for respondents No.5 and 6.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

1. The petitioner has moved an application for early hearing of the main case.
2. Main case is preponed and taken on board today itself.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has prayed to be considered for the post of Anganwadi worker in terms of the instructions which were already applicable at the time of advertisement, instead of applying the new instructions.
4. The appeal lies to the Collector and then to the Commissioner for any grievances relating to appointment or service of Anganwadi worker, which is not a statutory post but is under a scheme.

- 5. Granting liberty to the petitioner to file an appeal before the concerned Collector, it is directed that if such an appeal is filed, the same shall be decided within a period of three months.
- 6. Writ Petition stands *disposed of* in aforesaid terms.
- 7. All pending applications also stand disposed of accordingly.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

December 21, 2023

Mohit goyal

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |