

2023:PHHC:118521

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

215

**CWP-19285-2017
Date of Decision:-11.09.2023**

AJAY AND ORS

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Tara Chand Dhanwal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Ritvik Garg, Advocate for
Mr. Udit Garg, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

The instant petition has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent-Department i.e Municipal Committee, Barwala to frame a policy and to appoint the petitioners by the respondents itself instead of appointing them through contractor.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that that the services of the petitioners shall not be dispensed with another set of contractual/daily wage employees till the regular appointments are made.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that he has no objection in case the instant petition is disposed of as he also assures that the services of the petitioners will not be dispensed with till regular appointments are made to the said post.

CWP-19285-2017

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the instant petition is ordered to be disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

11.09.2023
P.Bhatt

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No