

249

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-20462-2022

Date of decision: 18.07.2023

Vinod Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Naveen S. Panwar, DAG, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1 to 5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing of impugned order dated 30.06.2022 (Annexure P-14) passed by respondent No.4.

2. On 09.12.2022, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

“Present: None for the petitioners.

Ms. Kirti Singh, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

As per office report, learned counsel for the petitioner did not file process fee and therefore, notice could not be issued to respondent No.6.

In the interest of justice, learned counsel for the petitioner is granted another opportunity to do the needful.

Process fee may now be filed within one week from today after which notice be issued to respondent No.6 for the adjourned date.

Adjourned to 18.07.2023.

Before the adjourned date, the State may also file its written statement.

December 09, 2022

Sd/- (DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE”

3. In spite of the opportunity having been granted, as per report of the Registry, notice could not be issued to respondent No.6 as process fee was not filed.

4. Today, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners. Even on 09.12.2022, same was the position.

5. Accordingly, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

6. Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioners to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to them.

18.07.2023

Pawan

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No