

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(103)

CWP-17478-2018

Date of decision : 25.01.2023

Shanti Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India invoking the writ jurisdiction of this Court seeking a direction to the respondents to allow pension and pensionary benefits to the petitioner after regularizing her services in view of policy dated 30.12.1998/25.02.1999, Annexure P-1, w.e.f. the date services of the juniors have been regularized with all consequential benefits.

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Similar was the position on the previous occasion.

Short reply by way of affidavit of District Education Officer, Rohtak, has been filed on behalf of the respondents, wherein, it has been submitted as under:-

“4. That further, in compliance of the speaking order dated 27.11.2018 passed by the Respondent No.3 i.e. District Education Officer, Rohtak. The Principal Govt. Sr. Sec. School, Khairdi (Rohtak) refixed the pay of the petitioner from 01.01.1999 notionally with regular annual increment and paid to the petitioner the arrears of revised pay fixation as Rs.3,40,420/- + Earned Leaves Arrears as Rs.17,825/-. Total Rs.358245/- has been paid to the petitioner as arrear. (Annexure R-2) and nothing is remained on the part of respondents.

5. That now, all the arrears have been paid to the petitioner and further, the case for sanctioning the pension of the petitioner will be forwarded to the Accountant General, Haryana, Chandigarh, immediately.”

In view of the stand taken by the respondents, petition is rendered infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

25.01.2023
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes/No