

CWP- 15111-2023 (O&M)

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP- 15111-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 18.07.2023

Tarsem Lal

... Petitioner

V/s.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present : Mr. Nitish Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India by the petitioner seeking issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondent-department to grant the benefit of 14 year ACP in view of Assured Career Progression Scheme dated 03.11.2006 (annexure P-4) and further to revise the pay/pension accordingly and to grant all arrears of pay/pension and consequential benefits along with interest @ 12% p.a.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner joined the respondent-Department as Peon on 02.12.1980 and retired therefrom on 31.03.2012 and he is entitled for the benefit of ACP in view of ACP scheme dated 03.11.2006 (Annexure P-4) along with arrears of pay and pension.

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Arun Gupta, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 2 and Mr. Sanjeev Soni,

Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No. 4.

Learned State counsel submits that the petitioner has to approach respondent No. 3 i.e. Additional Deputy Commissioner (General) Faridkot for redressal of his grievance.

Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioner prays that the present writ petition be treated as representation and the petitioner would be satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No. 3 to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record of the case.

In view of the pleadings in the present petition, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioner in the representation, respondent No. 3 is directed to decide the representation, by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

The petition stands disposed of.

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

18.07.2023
seema

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes /No