IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.: 217

Criminal Miscellaneous No.M-35982 of 2022
Date of Decision: *January 23, 2023*

Sunil Verma

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

State of Haryana

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ankita Ahuja, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Guddi Rani, states that pursuant to the order passed by this Court, dated 16.08.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation and has got the mobile phone recovered also.

She, however, submits that a car is yet to be recovered. But she is not in a position to state who is owner of the said car, and how it is related to the offences alleged.

Pre-arrest bail to the petitioner cannot be declined on such vague and unfounded statements, nor can the awaited recovery of an article/car in itself afford such a ground.

In view thereof, the present petition is allowed. Interim order dated 16.08.2022, passed by this Court, is made absolute.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

January 23, 2023
payal

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned: Yes/ No
Whether Reportable: Yes/ No

PAYAL
2023.01.24 19:05
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this order/judgment