

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

208

CWP-23559-2015

Date of Decision:-11.09.2023

KULWANT SINGH & ORS.

...Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS.

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

Mr. Kartar Singh Malik, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to regularize the services of the petitioners according to the policies decision dated 18.06.2014 (Annexure P-1).

2. In the instant petition, the petitioners have claimed regularization under the policy of 2014, which stands otherwise quashed by the Division Bench of this Court in *CWP No.17206 of 2014* titled *Yogesh Tyagi and another vs. State of Haryana and others* vide order dated 31.05.2018, against which, Special Leave to Petition titled State of Haryana and others vs. Yogesh Tyagi and another is pending before the Apex Court, wherein status quo has been ordered by the Apex Court.

3. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that once the policy of 2014 stands quashed by the Court, this peition does not survive at this stage as to his advantage, the benefit of status quo by

the Apex Court is operative and the petitioners are continuing in service as on date, therefore, he prays for withdrawal of the instant petition.

- 4. Prayer is accepted.
- 5. In case of any further cause of action arises to the petitioners, they shall be at liberty to approach the Court, as and when so advised.
- 6. In view of the aforesaid terms, the instant petition is ordered to be dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

11.09.2023
P.Bhatt

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No