

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.34000 of 2023
Date of decision: 31st October, 2023

Ravinder Singh @ Babbo

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Malkiat Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.60 dated 18.07.2021 for the offences under Sections 336, 427, 452, 148 and 149 IPC and Section 25/27 of Arms Act registered at Police Station Valtaha, Tarn Taran.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 17.07.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that a perusal of the FIR in question reveals that only the presence of the petitioner has been shown at the time of alleged occurrence, however, no injury has been attributed to him. Learned counsel submits that though the

learned Trial Court granted him the concession of interim bail, however, on account of some miscommunication, he was unable to join the investigation in compliance of the said order. A prayer, therefore, has been made to extend the concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner as he is ready and willing to cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 17.07.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 17.07.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 31, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No