

2023:PHHC:099598

**120 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6993-2023

Date of Decision: 03.08.2023

GUNJAN

... PETITIONER

VS.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.. RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present : Mr. Vinay Kumar Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranvir Singh Arya, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

VIVEK PURI, J.(ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect her life and liberty.
2. Status report by way of affidavit of Jasleen Kaur, Additional Superintendent of Police, District Palwal on behalf of respondents No. 2 and 5 and status report by way of affidavit of Surender Kumar, Deputy Superintendent of Police, HQ, Nuh, on behalf of respondents No.3 and 4 have been placed on record.
3. Learned State counsel submits that private respondents No. 6 to 10 were joined in the inquiry and they are not related to the petitioner in any manner. They neither extended any threat to the petitioner nor they will endanger her life. The police has made serious efforts to locate the petitioner but she was not residing at the given address. The statement of the landlord has been recorded to the effect that she alongwith one Rohit stayed in the house on rent for a period of two days. After leaving the room for two days, they went away and have not returned back. It has been further submitted that the petitioner has not appeared to take the police protection

and she is at liberty to take police protection as and when required.

Respondents No. 3 and 4 will provide protection to the life and liberty of the petitioner, if so required.

4. Faced with this situation, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks to withdraw the present petition.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn.

03.08.2023
smriti

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable	: Yes/No