

CRM-M-33989-2023 (O&M)

-1-

109 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33989-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.07.2023

Dilip Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present : Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

1. The present first petition has been filed by the petitioner under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.422 dated 14.10.2022 registered under Sections 380, 454, 401, 411, 413, 420, 468, 471, 201 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Urban Estate, District Rohtak.

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the case against the petitioner is totally false, frivolous and concocted. The petitioner is not involved in any crime as is alleged against him in the present case. Even as per the allegations levelled by the prosecution, the petitioner is not involved in the theft and the allegation against him is only qua receiving the stolen property. Even the value of the alleged stolen property is very small. It is further submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation and to cooperate with the Investigating Officer as and when called by the police.

CRM-M-33989-2023 (O&M)

-2-

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. K.K.Chahal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and vehemently opposed the petition.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent-State, on the basis of written instructions supplemented with telephonic conversation with SI Manoj Kumar, has submitted that the petitioner is habitual of committing similar crime. As per the written instructions, the petitioner is involved in ten more cases on similar nature. The custody of the petitioner is required to unearth the dimensions of involvement of the petitioner.

6. In view of the above, this Court does not find it appropriate to grant concession of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

7. Dismissed.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

17.07.2023
adhikari

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No