

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(208)

CWP No. 18871 of 2017

Date of Decision : 24.07.2023

Navaljeet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Chatrath, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the petitioner has already been interviewed provisionally as per the interim order passed by the co-ordinate bench of this court, the result of the petitioner may kindly be summoned so as to assess whether, the petitioner makes a grade or not so that the petition could be argued on merit in case the petitioner is successful.

Learned State counsel has brought the provisional result in the Court and has submitted that the last selected candidate in the category in which the petitioner is claiming appointment, has secured 70 marks and the last candidate in the said category kept in waiting list has secured 67 marks, hence, the marks obtained by the petitioner are much

less than even the candidates, who have been put on waiting list, hence, the petitioner does not qualify for the appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

July 24, 2023
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No