

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

117

CWP-16204-2023

Decided on : 31.07.2023

Aman

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

PRESENT: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. AG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an orphan and keeping in view the fact that the father of the petitioner was working with the respondent-department and had died while in service, the petitioner was entitled for the benefits under the Haryana Civil Services (Compassionate Financial Assistance or Appointment) Rules, 2019, which benefits are not being extended to the petitioner without any valid justification.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that for the relief claimed in the present petition, the petitioner has already filed representation and legal notice with the respondent-department, copies of which are appended as Annexure P-3 and P-5 dated 05.01.2016 and 27.03.2023 respectively and the same are still pending consideration with the respondent and the petitioner would be satisfied at this stage if a direction is given to the respondent-Department to decide either the representation or the legal notice in a time bound manner by passing appropriate speaking order.

2. Notice of motion.

3. Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. AG, Haryana accepts notice and submits that in case the representation, Annexure P-3 and legal notice Annexure P-5 dated 05.01.2016 and 27.03.2023 respectively are still pending with the authorities concerned, either of the same will be decided within a period of 8 weeks of copy of the receipt of this order by passing of an appropriate speaking order and in case after the decision, the petitioner is found entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to him within a further period of 4 weeks.
4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the grievance of the petitioner raised in the present petition already stands redressed and the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed.
5. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

31.07.2023
Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No