

3. IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-12652-CWP-2022 in
RA-CW-169-2022 in
CWP-14960-2019

Date of Decision: September 22, 2023

Mehinder Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation, Gurugram & another

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present: Mr. Shailender Bhatnagar, Advocate and
Mr. Atul Kaushik, Advocate for the applicant-petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate for respondent No. 1.

None for respondent No. 2.

LISA GILL, J.

1. Applicant-petitioner has filed this application seeking review of order dated 01.06.2022 vide which this writ petition was dismissed.

2. It is vehemently argued by learned counsel for the applicant that respondent – Bank neither had possession or title of the property in question and was, thus, not entitled to sell the property. Pleas as raised by the petitioners, it is submitted, have not been dealt with while passing impugned order dated 01.06.2022.

3. We have heard learned counsel for the applicant at length and have perused the file. It is apparent that by way of this application, there is an attempt to re-agitate the entire matter on merits. Learned counsel for the

**CM-12652-CWP-2022 in
RA-CW-169-2022 in
CWP-14960-2019**

-2-

applicant is unable to point out any error in decision dated 01.06.2022 apparent on the face of it or any other ground which calls for review thereof.

4. It is to be noted there is a delay of 41 days in filing this application on the ground that petitioner was not aware of period of limitation. Though ignorance of law is not a defence, however, keeping in view the fact that we have heard the matter on merits, adjudication of this application is rendered academic.

4. CM-12652-CWP-2022 is disposed of, accordingly.

5. RA-CW-169-2022 is dismissed being devoid of any merit.

**(LISA GILL)
JUDGE**

September 22, 2023
rts

**(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No