

CWP-17119-2018

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CWP-17119-2018

Date of Decision: 07.08.2023

Narinder Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Rishav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Sandeep Bhatia, Advocate
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Sandeep Bhatia, learned counsel for the respondents, at the outset, submits that petitioner committed alleged offence in the State of West Bengal and thereafter, all the orders came to be passed outside the jurisdiction of this Court, thus, this Court lacks territorial jurisdiction.
2. Mr. Rishav Jain, learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid fact.
3. This Court vide judgment dated 26.07.2023 in ***CWP No.8829 of 2021; Manoj Kumar Versus Union of India and Others*** has declined to entertain writ petition of the petitioner therein on the ground that no part of cause of action had arisen within the jurisdiction of this Court. The present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid judgment of this Court.

4. In view of above facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that no cause of action has arisen within the jurisdiction of this Court, thus, present petition is not maintainable. The petitioner is at liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

07.08.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>