

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-41323-2022
DECIDED ON: 28.03.2023**

SATPAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Thakur, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate
for respondent No.6.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of appropriate direction/orders holding the action of respondent No.3 in conducting multiple enquiries and now entrusting second enquiry to respondent No.5 in complaint No.5 in complaint dated 18.04.2022 filed by respondent No.6.

Status report by way of an affidavit dated 05.12.2022 of Mangal Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Crime Against Women, District Gurdaspur, On behalf of respondents No.1 to 5-State has been filed in the Court and the same is taken on record.

In the petition, the challenge was to conduct multiple enquiries in a complaint dated 18.04.2022 alleged in the instant petition.

Learned State counsel has referred the para 4 of the reply which reads as under:

*That it is humbly submitted that the respondent No. 6
namely Mamta had filed a representation dated 09.06.2022 to the
office of the respondent No.3 (SSP/Gurdapsur) against her husband
namely Angrej Singh, Satpal Singh (Petitioner No.1), Veena Devi*

(Petitioner No.2), Major Singh, Asha Rani, Nisha Devi and Kailash regarding demanding for dowry and forcibly miscarriage her. The said representation was marked to the then Deputy Superintendent of Police, Crime against Women, Gurdaspur vide No. 2027-Peshgi dated 09.06.2022. Incharge Women Cell, Gurdaspur called both the parties and counseling between the parties on 27.07.2022 and 06.09.2022. During the counseling, both the parties request that they will be appear on 16.09.2022, but both the parties did not appear in the office of Incharge Women Cell, Gurdaspur on 16.09.2022. During the enquiry of Incharge Women Cell, Gurdaspur recorded the statement of the complainant/respondent No. 6 and the petitioner Sat Pal. The respondent No. 6 recorded his statement that the civil case under section 125 Cr.P.C for grant of maintenance in the Learned Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Gurdaspur against her husband. The petitioner got recorded his statement that his son Angrej Singh had filed a petition under section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act in the Learned Court of Principal Judge, Family Court, Gurdaspur. Both the case is pending in the Learned Court. Therefore, the Enquiry Officer recommended that no further correspondence upon this complaint. Hence, the complaint was consigned on 02.11.2022.

In the light of the above-said taken by the learned State counsel that the complaint has been sent in the record room.

Petition does not survive any more.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to take appropriate remedies in accordance with law if so advised in future.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

28.03.2023
pchawla

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*
Whether reportable *Yes/No*