

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(256)

CWP-17950-2020

Date of decision: - 20.03.2023

Jagdish Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rishu Madan, AAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 4.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction especially in the nature of certiorari for quashing of the impugned notice dated 24.09.2020 (Annexure P-4) issued by respondent No.2 under Section 20 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 (for short 'the Act').

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State has submitted that in the present case only a notice under Section 20 of the Act has been issued and by virtue of the said notice dated 24.09.2020, the petitioner had been permitted to file reply to the same and no order has been passed under Section 20 of the Act yet and thus, the present writ petition has been filed prematurely . It is further submitted that in case any order is passed against the present petitioner under Section 20 of the Act, he even has a remedy of filing of an appeal against the same.

Today, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut

the said contention.

None had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 06.09.2022.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present petition or to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives.

March 20, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No