

CRM-M-33886-2023
Date of Decision:21.07.2023

Surjit Singh @ Seeta	...Petitioner
vs.	
State of Punjab	...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.M.S.Bajwa, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the instant petition under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. with a prayer to grant a regular bail to him in case FIR No.171 dated 13.10.2022 registered under Section 115/120-B of IPC, at Police Station Kartarpur, District Jalandhar.

As per story of the prosecution, on 13.10.2022, ASI Balvir Singh along with other police officials was present in connection with checking of bad elements and received a secret information that two persons, namely, Gurdish Singh alias Laddi son of Baldev Singh and Surjit Singh alias Seeta son of Bant Singh were sitting in the Swift Dzire car, whose front number plate was covered with mud and the rear number plate has been removed. Further, Baldev Singh and Daljit Kaur alias Chhindo i.e. the petitioner in connivance with Gurdish Singh and his friend Surjit Singh had planned to commit murder of Gurmeet Kaur. Therefore, Baldev Singh and Daljit Kaur alias Chhindo had called Gurdish Singh and his friend Surjit Singh to commit the murder of Gurmeet Kaur. It

was further informed that Gurdish Singh and Surjit Singh alias Seeta were having *kirpan* and other deadly weapons in the above mentioned Dzure car and at this moment, they were sitting in the said car near cremation ground. With these broad averments, the FIR in the present case was registered under section 115 of IPC.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 13.10.2022 and the investigation is complete. He further contends that 14 witnesses have been cited in the present case, but no one has been examined and the conclusion of the trial will take quite a long time. He further contends that the co-accused, namely, Daljit Kaur @ Chhindo has already been granted the concession of bail by this Court in CRM-M-525-2023 on 12.07.2023.

On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the grant of concession of bail to the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and he may influence the witnesses of the prosecution. He further contends that the FSL report is still awaited.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

21.07.2023
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No