

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

115

CWP-22168-2016

Date of Decision : 19.12.2023

Jagdeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Dhiraj Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Inderpreet Singh Kang, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. G.S.Bal, Sr. Advocate with
Ms. Lovepreet Kaur, Advocate
for respondents No.14, 15, 18 and 19.

Respondents No.4 to 13, 16, 17, 20 and 21 *exparte*.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of amended seniority list 23.07.2016 (Annexure P-9).

2. On 15.03.2023, the following order was passed :

“Ld. Senior Counsel appearing for the private respondents would submit that as per the facts raised in the pleadings the petitioner himself given his date of promotion as Inspector to be 12th of May, 2011. As per the relevant Rules to be considered for promotion as Deputy Superintendent of Police is required to complete 6 years service as Inspector but the petitioner retired on 31st of December, 2016 on attaining

age of superranuation i.e. 58 years (though he was granted extension for further 2 years) thus, the whole of the exercise will be merely academic in nature.

Mr. Chawla prays for time to seek instructions.

On his request, adjourned to 14.11.2023.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that juniors of the petitioner in the revised seniority list have been placed at senior position. In support of his contention, he pointed out case of Karam Singh i.e. private respondent No.4.
4. Learned State counsel in reply to contention of learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that Karam Singh was appointed as ASI on 03.12.1986 whereas petitioner joined Force as Constable and he was appointed as ASI on 03.03.1989, thus, the petitioner cannot claim seniority from Karam Singh.
5. This Court from the perusal of record, especially, in view of the fact that the petitioner is not assailing parameters laid down by committee while preparing seniority list, does not find any infirmity in the impugned order warranting interference of this Court.
6. Dismissed.

19.12.2023
anju

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>