

233

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16670-2018

Date of decision: 24.05.2023

Durga Dass and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr. Rai Singh Chauhan, Advocate and
Mr. Rohit Sapehiya, Advocate and
Ms. Deepika Chauhan, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab
for respondent Nos.1 to 6.

Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate for respondent Nos.7 to 9.

Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. J.S. Uppal, Advocate for respondent No.10.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 4 to take action against respondent Nos.7 to 10.

2. Learned State Counsel has submitted that an inquiry has been conducted with respect to respondent No.7 and as per the said inquiry report, recovery of Rs.49,575/- is to be effected from respondent No.7 and

for the said purpose, proceedings under Section 216 of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994 have been initiated and the same would be pursued in accordance with law. It is further submitted that as far as respondent Nos.8 to 10 are concerned, after a separate inquiry, allegations levelled by the petitioners against them have been found to be false and thus, no action is sought to be taken against them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that in view of abovesaid statement made by learned State Counsel, he seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present Civil Writ Petition with liberty to the petitioners to avail alternative remedy, in accordance with law.

4. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

24.05.2023*Pawan***(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned:-****Yes/No****Whether reportable:-****Yes/No**