

CWP-15120-2023

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

104/2

CWP-15120-2023

Date of Decision: 21.07.2023

Swapanpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. S.P. Soi, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Karan Kumar Jund, Central Government Counsel for
Mr. Amit Sharma, Advocate for Union of India-respondent

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, AAG., Punjab

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondent No.2 to issue passport which has been withheld on account of FIR registered against the petitioner.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* submits that after completing investigation, police has filed cancellation report, thus, respondent No. 2 cannot invoke rigor of Clause (f) of Section 6(2) of the Passport Act, 1967.

3. This Court vide judgment dated 14.07.2023 in ***Mohan Lal @ Mohna Versus Union of India and Others; CWP-27167-2018*** has dealt with the issue in hand.

CWP-15120-2023

-2-

4. Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that present matter is squarely covered by the aforesaid judgment and present matter needs to be decided by the passport authority in accordance with aforesaid judgment.

5. In view of statement made by learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition stand disposed of with a direction to the respondent-authorities to decide application of the petitioner seeking passport within 8 weeks from today, considering judgment in *Mohan Lal @ Mohna (supra)* passed by this Court.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

21.07.2023
Mohit Kumar

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>