

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

234

CWP-1821-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision:-24.07.2023

JASWINDER PAL SINGH WALIA

.... Petitioner

VS

DIRECTOR CENTRAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION AND
OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Anmol Jeevan Singh Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Garg Dhuriwala, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

Mr. Nitin Kumar Sharma, Advocate for
Ms. Shubhra Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1-CBI.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, ASG, with
Mr. Shobit Phutel, CGC, for UOI.
Mr. Kamal Sehgal, Advocate for respondent No. 5.

Mr. S.K. Garg Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate
Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate and
Mr. Sourabh Sheoran, Advocate for respondent No.6.

Mr. M.L. Saggar, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Sunny Saggar, Advocate for respondent No.7.

Mr. Pradeep Virk, Advocate for respondents No. 9 & 12.

Ritu Bahri, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner has informed the Court
that he has no instructions to appear in the present case.

Learned counsel for the respondents have referred to the order dated 26.09.2019 wherein learned counsel for the petitioner had stated that petitioner orally instructed him not to appear in this case any further. Today also, on the first call, counsel for the petitioner sought for a passover and after calling the case second time, he stated that he has no instructions to appear for the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents have further produced photocopies of the orders dated 08.03.2017, 22.02.2017, 16.11.2017 and 04.07.2019, which are taken on record as Annexures R-1 to R-4 in which he has been shown to be declared as proclaimed offender in FIR No. 62 dated 20.07.2016, Police Station Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana, FIR No. 56, dated 30.03.2016, Police Station Division No.4, District Ludhiana, FIR No. 135 dated 06.08.2015, Police Station Sarabha Nagar, District Ludhiana and FIR No. 83 dated 29.03.2015, Police Station Salem Tabri, District Ludhiana.

Vide order dated 25.01.2023, learned counsel for the petitioner was directed to inform this Court as to what steps have been taken by the petitioner to come back to India, to approach passport authority, whether passport authority rejected petitioner's case for renewal of his passport and whether he challenged the rejection order of the passport authority? Learned counsel for the petitioner has no instructions in compliance of order dated 25.01.2023.

In view of the above, it seems that petitioner does not want to pursue this petition. Accordingly, this petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

24.07.2023
pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No