

211

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-35769-2022

Date of Decision:- 16.01.2023

MUKESH DHALI WAL AND ANR.

....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Jasvir Singh Dhaliwal, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Mohinder Singh Joshi, Additional A.G. Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner – Mukesh Dhaliwal and Tarsem Dhaliwal have filed the instant petition under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.7 dated 24.06.2022 under Sections 406, 498-A, 120-B of IPC registered at Police Station Women, Ludhiana Rural, District Ludhiana.

As per the facts of the case the complainant Sukhveer Kaur complainant filed written complaint against her husband and in-laws family that her marriage was performed with Sumit Dhaliwal on 14.11.2021. At the time of marriage her parents had given dowry articles consisting of gold ornaments beyond their capacity. She was taunted and ill-treated by her mother-in-law, father-in-law for bringing less dowry.

They used to say that their son was working in share market and later-on she came to know that he is drug addicted and mentally upset. She was

physically and mentally harassed. She became pregnant and suffered miscarriage. They wanted only a male child. Her in-laws gave assurance that she will be allowed to continue with her studies and would settle her in her career but thereafter they refused. All her dowry articles are misappropriated by her in-laws family. With these allegations the present FIR has been registered.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argued that they have already joined the investigation as per the interim bail granted in their favour dated 17.08.2022. The dowry articles have been recovered. They are still ready to join the investigation. The allegations against them are false. It is prayed that interim bail granted in their favour may be confirmed.

Learned counsel representing the State confirmed the version of petitioners. It is admitted that as per the version of HC Gurpreet Singh both the petitioners have joined the investigation. Therefore, they are not required for any other purpose.

I have considered the arguments and have gone through the record. Both the petitioners are the parents of Sumit Dhaliwal, husband of the complainant. Counsel for the petitioners have placed on record recovery of gold ornaments as well as the recovery of certificates belonging to the complainant. It is confirmed that both the petitioners have joined the investigation and they are not required for any other purpose.

Considering the aforesaid factual position, the interim bail granted in favour of the petitioners vide order dated 17.08.2022 stand confirmed with the same terms and conditions as specified under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

The petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

16.01.2023

snd

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No.

Whether reportable: Yes/No