

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-179-2017

Date of decision : 06.02.2023

Kulvir Singh

..... Petitioner

versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd. and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.S. Mittal, Advocate
for the respondents.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

Petitioner by way of present writ petition seeks writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the claim of the petitioner for promotion in Physically Handicapped Category based upon certificate dated 22.03.2006 (P-1) and 09.03.2011 (P-2) and also sought directions to the respondents to grant extension of two years by considering the case of the petitioner under handicapped category in terms of P-8. It is not disputed that while deeming the petitioner to be a handicapped category, he has been granted extension of two years. However, counsels are *ad idem* that so far as the claim of the petitioner for promotion and handicapped category is concerned, the same was raised before the respondents vide P-15, but the same has not been decided as yet.

2. Counsel for the petitioner at this stage submits that the primary relief w.r.t. the extension of service already having been granted. He confines his prayer that the direction be issued to the respondents to decide the representation of the petitioner (P-15) in a time bound manner.

3. Counsel representing the respondents is not averse to the same and submits that the same will be adjudicated within a period of 08 weeks.

4. Consequently, the present writ petition is disposed off with a direction to the respondents to adjudicate upon the claim of the petitioner as raised vide P-15, in accordance with law within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case the petitioner is held to be entitled, consequential relief be granted to him within a period of 04 weeks thereafter. However, in case the respondents found that the claim of the petitioner does not deserve acceptance and is required to be rejected, the same be rejected by passing a speaking order in the time framed as stipulated hereinabove.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

06.02.2023

Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No