

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr.No.: 209

CRM-M-35469-2022
Date of Decision: April 24, 2023

PRABHDYAL @ PRABHU DIAL

..... PETITIONER(S)

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

..... RESPONDENT(S)

. . .

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

. . .

PRESENT: - Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Jashandeep Singh, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vaibhav Narang, Advocate for the respondent No.2.

. . .

Tribhuvan Dahiya, J (Oral)

Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Sukhdev Singh, submits that pursuant to the order passed by this Court, dated 10.08.2022, the petitioner has joined the investigation, and dowry articles, i.e., air conditioner, LCD etc. have also been recovered from him. However, certain gold and other articles are yet to be recovered.

2. Merely because certain alleged dowry articles are yet to be recovered, the same is not a ground to seek custodial interrogation of the petitioner/accused. The fact of entrustment of the said articles is a matter of trial.

3. In view thereof, the present petition is allowed. Interim order dated 10.08.2022 passed by this Court, is made absolute.

(Tribhuvan Dahiya)
Judge

April 24, 2023
Prince Chawla

<u>Whether Speaking/ Reasoned</u>	:	<u>Yes/ No</u>
<u>Whether Reportable</u>	:	<u>Yes/ No</u>