

[266] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3454-2022(O&M)

Date of Decision :23.03.2023

Renu ...Petitioner

Versus

Saurabh Singhal and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Naren Pratap Singh, Advocate for respondent No.1.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

CM-16769-CII-2022

Notice of the application to the counsel for the non-applicant/
respondent No.1.

Mr. Naren Pratap Singh, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of
the non-applicant/respondent No.1 and states that he has no objection to the
prayer for preponment.

Accordingly, in view of the statement of learned counsel for
non-applicant/respondent No.1, the application is *allowed*. Date of hearing
of the main case is preponed from 25.04.2023 to today itself.

Main Case

[1] At the outset, learned counsel for the parties states that a
perusal of the impugned order reveals that the same does not take into
account the factual aspect of the matter but refers to details of some other
case and in view thereof, counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the
impugned order can be set aside and the matter remanded to the learned
trial Court to decide the application under Order 7 Rule 11 afresh in

[2] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the parties, the impugned order (Annexure P-1) dated 19.07.2022 is set aside. Matter is remanded to the learned trial Court to decide the application under Order 7 Rule 11 afresh in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible preferably within six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Revision petition *disposed of*.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

23.03.2023
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No