

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(106)

CWP-18403-2022

Date of decision: - 06.02.2023

Deen Mohd.

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Arvind Galav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, order or direction especially in the nature of mandamus for directing the respondents No.2 and 3 for sanctioning the mutation of the property in question.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time, seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

In view of the above-said statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

February 06, 2023

naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/No

Whether reportable?

Yes/No