

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

224

2023:PHHC:128436
CWP No.21358 of 2016(O&M)
DECIDED ON: 03.10.2023

Rohi Ram

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Mr.Sunny Singla, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanpreet Singh, A.A.G., Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

1. Counsel for the petitioner claims that the petitioner should be granted Conveyance Allowance available to disabled persons called as Handicap Allowance from the date of his appointment while the respondents have granted the said benefit from the date 25.11.2015.

2. I have considered the submissions as also considered the reply.

3. The respondents in their reply have stated that the disability certificate with recommendations for grant of Conveyance Allowance was considered by the competent medical authority and recommendations were made on 24.11.2015 whereafter considering the said recommendations, the said authorities have issued the sanction for grant of Conveyance Allowance @ Rs.600/- per month or 5% amount of basic pay, whichever is less, to the petitioner.

4. The contention of the petitioner that the said allowance should be given to him from the date of his initial appointment and the arrears should also be paid to him along with interest @ 18% per annum is wholly misconceived as the allowance can only be paid from the date the same is recommended. Since recommendation is made on 25.11.2015, the benefit has

also been granted from the said date and does not relate from the date of appointment, the grant being for future.

5. In view thereof, the writ petition being wholly misconceived and devoid of merit is accordingly dismissed.

6. All pending civil misc. application(s) in this writ petition shall also stand dispose of.

03.10.2023

mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)

JUDGE

Yes/No

Yes/No