

226

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16017-2018

Date of decision : 10.02.2023

Prem Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Superintending Canal Officer and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a Civil Writ Petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order dated 30.05.2018 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.1, whereby, the appeal filed by the petitioner has been dismissed and also for quashing of order dated 21.12.2017 (Annexure P-5) and order dated 16.10.2017 (Annexure P-4).

Although, the case has been called thrice but however, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. Even on 30.08.2022 also, no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present Civil Writ Petition is dismissed for non-prosecution.

10.02.2023

Pawan

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No