

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

248

CRM-M-33282-2023

Date of decision: 15.09.2023

Amarpreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pankaj Khullar, AAG, Punjab
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Kuldeep Singh Siwach, Advocate for
Mr. Rupender Singh Rana, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.58 dated 15.03.2019 under Sections 420, 384, 506 and 509 of the IPC and Sections 66E, 66D, 67 and 67-A of the IT Act registered at Police Station Sadar Dhuri, District Sangrur (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 07.07.2023 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 14.07.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 18.08.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dhuri, in pursuance of the directions of this Court,

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Dhuri and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

15.09.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No