

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.35347 of 2022
Reserved on: 13.01.2023
Pronounced on: 24.01.2023

Jugraj Singh and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Ms. Harpreet Kaur Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Virat Rana, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.V.S. Chugh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

ANOOP CHITKARA J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
101	02.07.2018	Jodhan, District Ludhiana Rural	447, 511, 506, 34 IPC

The petitioner, arraigned as accused in the above captioned FIR, has come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved person.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved person have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-2.
3. After that, the petitioner came up before this Court to quash the FIR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved person as respondent.
4. On 06.09.2022, the aggrieved person Sharanjit Kaur (R-2) stated before the JMIC, Ludhian and stated that there would be no objection if the court quashes this FIR and consequent proceedings. As per the concerned court's report dated 23.09.2022, the parties consented to the quashing of FIR and consequent proceedings without any threat.

ANALYSIS & REASONING:

5. However, the petitioners did not arraign Ramandeep as a respondent in this case.
6. Since all the victims did not state about their no objection to the compromise and also the reasons which led to the compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.
7. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the complainant/aggrieved person respondent no.2 and the accused, whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements alongwith report would suffice.

Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

24.01.2023
Jyoti-II

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: **No.**