

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(259)

CWP-18320-2020

Date of decision: - 13.02.2023

Anish Khan and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Aezaz Ahmed, Advocate
for Mr. Imtiyaz Hussain, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Pardeep Solath, Advocate
for respondent No.9.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondents No.1 to 5 to take remedial action on the representations dated 08.09.2020 & 18.09.2020 (Annexures P-7 & P-8) submitted by the petitioners.

On 19.01.2023, this Court was pleased to pass the following order: -

“As per the report of the Registry, service is complete.

Mr. Pardeep Solath, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.9 and has submitted that the present Civil Writ Petition has been rendered infructuous as Sarpanch against whom the action was sought was appointed in the year 2020.

Adjourned to 13.02.2023.

To be taken up at 03.30 pm.

Registry is directed to inform learned counsel for the petitioners about the said date.

19.01.2023

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE”**

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that although, the term of the Sarpanch is over, but the petitioner would like to initiate criminal proceedings against respondent No.10 for embezzlement of funds.

Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to initiate other proceedings against respondent No.10, in accordance with law.

In view of the above-said statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the present writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

February 13, 2023
naresh.k

**(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE**

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No