

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.33168 of 2023
Date of decision: 29th November, 2023

Randhir Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Arvind Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Prem Kumar, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.101 dated 12.06.2023 for the offences under Sections 406 and 420 IPC registered at Police Station Dhand, District Kaithal.

2. On 13.07.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was found innocent in three separate inquiries, which were conducted after the complainant had made repeated complaints against him on three occasions. However, later on the same facts and circumstances, FIR in

question was lodged against the petitioner wherein it was alleged that the petitioner had duped the complainant of sum of Rs.13 lakhs on the pretext of sending him and his family to the USA.

On a pointed query put to the learned counsel, he submits that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case much less of similar nature.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 13.07.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel appearing on behalf of the complainant, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. She, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 13.07.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

November 29, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No