

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

260

CRM-M-38862-2021

Date of Decision : December 05, 2023

SANJEEV KUMAR

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Gurpreet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Dhawan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Thakur, AAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition, as cast under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C., proffers the hereinafter extracted relief, as craved by the petitioner, inasmuch as, quashing of the FIR No.49 dated 26.3.2019, under Sections 406, 420 of the IPC and under Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals Regulation Act, 2014, registered at P.S. Bhogpur, District Jalandhar (Punjab) on the basis of a compromise deeds (Annexures P-2 & 3), as entered into inter se the petitioner and respondent No.2/complainant.

2. Upon an affirmative response from the learned counsel for respondent No.2/complainant qua the compromise (Annexure P-2), a Co-

ordinate Bench of this Court had, through an order drawn on 20.9.2021, upon the instant petition, besides issuing notice, directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned, for getting their respective statements recorded qua authenticity of the compromise deeds (Annexures P-2 & P-3). Moreover, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate concerned was also directed to send a report in the above regard.

3. Consequent to the making of the directions (supra), the parties appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar and got their respective statements recorded, thereby authenticating the compromise deeds (Annexure P-2 & P-3). Accordingly, in compliance of the directions (supra) of this Court, a Report bearing No.66 dated 15.2.2022 has been received from the the learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar, wherein, a satisfaction has been recorded by the Magistrate concerned qua the compromise (supra) being drawn in a genuine and voluntary and without any pressure or coercion and out of free will of the parties.

4. I have heard counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

5. A Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, in **CRM-M-25669-2020 (O&M)**, titled “**Abhishek Singh & others V/s State of Punjab & others**”, **Pronounced on: 07.04.2022**, while granting a similar relief, as craved in the instant petition, has observed as under:-

“9. The following aspects would be relevant to conclude this petition:-

- a) The accused and the private respondents have amicably settled the matter between them in terms of the compromise deed and the statements recorded before the concerned Court;*
- b) A perusal of the documents reveal that the settlement has not been secured through coercion, threats, social boycotts, bribes, or other dubious means;*
- c) The victim has willingly consented to the nullification of criminal proceedings;*
- d) There is no objection from the private respondents in case present FIR and consequent proceedings are quashed;*
- e) In the given facts, the occurrence does not affect public peace or tranquillity, moral turpitude or harm the social and moral fabric of the society or involve matters concerning public policy;*
- f) The rejection of compromise may also lead to ill will. The pendency of trial affects career and happiness;*
- g) There is nothing on the record to prima facie consider the accused as an unscrupulous, incorrigible, or professional offender;*
- h) The purpose of criminal jurisprudence is reformatory in nature and to work to bring peace to family, community, and society;*
- i) The exercise of the inherent power for quashing the conviction, sentence and all previous proceedings is justified to secure the ends of justice.”*

6. In the light of the hereinabove recorded aspects and considering the fact that the offences, for which the petitioner/accused has been charged, are not of grave in nature, as also in view of the law laid down in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012 (4) RCR***

(Criminal) 543 and ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab***
2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the present petition for quashing the FIR
(supra) is hereby allowed.

7. Resultantly, FIR No.49 dated 26.3.2019, under Sections 406,
420 of the IPC and under Section 13 of the Punjab Travel Professionals
Regulation Act, 2014, registered at P.S. Bhogpur, District Jalandhar
(Punjab) on the basis of a compromise deeds (Annexures P-2 & 3) is
quashed.

December 05, 2023
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No