

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.15258 of 2018
Date of decision : 28.11.2023

Usha Rani and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Ms. Indu Bala, Advocate for
Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Alankar Narula, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jastej Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Aditya Grover, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. Dushyant Jog, Advocate for
Mr. T. V. S. Lehal, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

Present writ petition has been filed for directing respondents No.2 to 5 to grant “No Objection Certificate” for plot bearing Nos.25B-1 (measuring 9 Marlas mutation sanctioned in favour of petitioners No.1 and 2), Plot No.25B (measuring 7 Marlas 3 sq. feet mutation sanctioned in favour of petitioners No.1 and 2), Plot No.26B (measuring 7 Marlas mutation sanctioned in favour of petitioners No.3 and 4) and Plot No.27B (measuring 9 Marlas mutation sanctioned in favour of petitioner No.4)

situated in village Basirpura under the approved layout plan of PUDA in the name of Royal Enclave so petitioners can construct their respective houses over the above said plots as application dated 14.12.2016 regarding this is pending with respondent No.2 till date.

It has been contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that number of times the petitioners have approached the respondents authorities but till date, no action has been taken.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 however has submitted that no representation was filed by the petitioners and thus, the question of deciding the same does not arise.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties and perusing the record, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 i.e. the Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Jalandhar City to treat this petition as a representation on behalf of the petitioners and pass a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of the petition as well as the order. This Court has not commented anything on the merits of the case, however the authorities are at liberty to take into consideration the contentions raised by the petitioners and decide the same in accordance with law.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

28.11.2023
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No