

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.33126-2023

Date of decision : 13.12.2023

SUKHVINDER

..... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF HARYANA

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

While issuing notice of motion following order was passed:-

“Challenge is to the order dated 31.03.2023 passed by ASJ, Jind (Annexure P-3), whereby the bail bonds furnished by the petitioner while being released on bail stands cancelled and forfeited.

Petitioner is facing prosecution in FIR No.34 dated 25.03.2020, registered for the offences punishable under Section 346 of IPC (Sections 302, 34, 404 and 201 of IPC and Section 25 of the Arms Act added later on), at Police Station Alewa, District Jind.

Counsel for the petitioner submits that delay on the part of the petitioner was due to the fact that he noted wrong date i.e. 31.05.2023, whereas in fact the date was 31.03.2023 in the trial. Further submits that the petitioner had no reason to commit default as he already stood admitted to bail vide order dated 19.03.2021 passed by this Court in CRM-M-11574- 2021 and submits that the petitioner shall appear before the trial Court.

Notice of motion for 26.09.2023.

Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

Petitioner is granted liberty to appear before the trial Court on or before 01.08.2023. In case the petitioner appears before the trial Court and moves an application seeking admission on bail, the Court is quite sanguine to decide within a period of 02 days, subject to deposit of Rs.10,000/- costs to be deposited with Punjab and Haryan High Court Family Welfare Fund.”

Status report by way of an affidavit of Sandeep Kumar, Deputy

Superintendent of Police, Uchana, District Jind on behalf of State has been

filed. The same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced a receipt dated 28.07.2023 to show that the costs have been made good. He further submits that pursuant to order dated 26.07.2023 passed by this Court, the petitioner had appeared before the trial Court on 02.08.2023 and stands admitted to bail.

In view of the above, present petition stands dismissed as having being rendered infructuous.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

13.12.2023
Anu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No