

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(104)

CWP-16950-2017

Date of decision : 28.02.2023

Vinod Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sushil Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.K.S.Brar, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing impugned order dated 04.07.2017, Annexure P-3, passed by respondent No.2, whereby, petitioner has been denied right to apply for appointment on transfer basis against advertisement dated 24.05.2017, Annexure P-1.

Upon instruction from his client, counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the short reply filed on behalf of the official respondents, writ petition has become infructuous.

Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

28.02.2023

Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No