

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

\*\*\*

CWP-15191-2018

Date of decision : 19.01.2023

Malkiat Kaur @ Sukhdev Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

Financial Commissioner of Revenue and others

... Respondents

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL***

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr.Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

Mr.Ujjawal Sharma, Advocate for  
Mr.Vikas Chatrath, Advocate  
for respondent no.29.

**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

This is a civil writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari / mandamus for quashing the order of Sanad Takseem dated 24.06.2015 passed by the Assistant Collector Ist Grade, Dhanaula, District Barnala and order dated 01.03.2017 passed by the Financial Commissioner of Revenue, Chandigarh.

On 16.01.2019, a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: None for the petitioner.*

*Ms. Bhavna Gupta, DAG, Punjab,  
for respondents No. 1 and 2.*

*Ms. Shilpa, Advocate,  
for Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate,  
for SBI-respondent No. 29.*

*Despite service, none puts in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 11, 13, 19, 28, 33, 34, 36, 37, 38, 45 and 46.*

*As per the report of the Registry, respondent No. 24 has died. Counsel for the petitioner to take necessary steps to implead the legal representatives of the said respondent.*

*Respondent No. 22 is unserved because of incomplete address.*

*Let fresh correct address of the said respondent be submitted in the Registry within a period of three weeks.*

*Respondents No. 8, 14, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 25, 26, 27, 41 and 42 are not residing at the given address.*

*Let fresh correct address of these respondents be submitted in the Registry within a period of three weeks.*

*On doing so, notices be issued to these respondents, for 02.04.2019.*

*(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE”*  
*January 16, 2019*

Thereafter on 02.04.2019, the said order was not complied with and the matter was adjourned to 01.10.2019. No one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner on 02.04.2019. On 01.10.2019, again no one had appeared on behalf of the petitioner and a coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: None for the petitioner.*

*Mr. Charanpreet Singh, Asstt. A.G., Punjab  
for respondents No.1 and 2.*

*Mr. Sarwinder Goyal, Advocate  
for respondents No.3 to 5.*

*None for respondents No.6, 9 to 11, 13, 19, 28, 33, 34, 36 to 38, 45 and 46.*

*None for respondent No.29.*

*-\*\_-*

*Power of Attorney on behalf of respondent No.5 and memo of appearance on behalf of respondents No.3 & 4 filed in Court are taken on record.*

*Order dated 16.01.2019 has not been complied with by the counsel  
for the petitioner.*

*Last opportunity is granted to the counsel for the petitioner to do the needful as per the time stipulated in the said order, failing which, the writ petition qua the unserved respondents shall be dismissed.*

*Counsel for respondents No.3 to 5 prays for and is granted eight weeks' time to file reply to the writ petition with an advance copy to the counsel for the petitioner.*

*List on 07.04.2020 for consideration/arguments.*

*Replication, if any, be filed six weeks prior to the next date of hearing.*

*October 1, 2019* (AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)  
JUDGE”

Today also no one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

As per the report of the Registry, no steps have been taken by the petitioner in pursuance of the last order.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the same in case any cause survives.

(VIKAS BAHL)  
JUDGE

January 19, 2023  
Davinder Kumar

|                             |        |
|-----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking / reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable          | Yes/No |