

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-14573-2023

Date of decision: - 13.07.2023

ESI/ASI Surjeet Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. R.K. Malik, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana
for the respondent.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. Present writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus by directing the respondent to decide the mercy petition/representation filed by the petitioner against the adverse remarks in the ACR in a time bound manner.

2. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner had given a representation dated 28.01.2021 (Annexure P-4) to the respondent and the respondent had called for the comments vide letter dated 13.06.2022 (Annexure P-5) and again thereafter vide letter dated 12.04.2023 (Annexure P-7) the respondent had asked the Director General of Police, Haryana, as to whether any letter/advice dated 12.02.2020 was conveyed to the petitioner or not. It is further submitted

that there is further correspondence regarding the same. Learned Senior counsel for the petitioner has made a limited prayer before this Court seeking direction to the respondent to finally consider the representation dated 28.01.2021 (Annexure P-4) as expeditiously as possible.

3. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the respondent to consider the representation dated 28.01.2021 (Annexure P-4) filed by the petitioner as expeditiously as possible.

July 13, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No