

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.30033 of 2019
Date of decision: 31st October, 2023

Ashok Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. B.S. Randhawa, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.
Mr. Tarun Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.100 dated 08.08.2013 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 323, 452, 380, 148, 149 and 201 IPC registered at Police Station Nangal, District Rupnagar along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 12.07.2019 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 17.07.2019 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Nangal, in pursuance of the direction of this Court,

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, 1st Class, Nangal and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ‘**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**’ (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ‘**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**’ 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioner, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

October 31, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No