

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

2023:PHHC:150031
CM-155-CWP-2021 in/and
CWP-7754-2011
Date of Decision: 24.11.2023

Kuljit Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present Mr. Anubhav Bansal, Advocate for Petitioner No.1.

None for remaining petitioners.

Mr. Paramjit Batta, Addl. AG, Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J.(Oral)

CM-155-CWP-2021

Learned counsel for applicant-petitioner No.1 prays to withdraw
the application.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

CWP-7754-2011 (O&M)

1. This Court also examined the writ petition filed by the petitioners, wherein the petitioners have challenged the issuance of the advertisement dated 09.07.2010, whereby the post which had been earlier advertised had been included in terms of the changed criteria while leaving the question open to examine in some other case.

2. As the advertisement has culminated into the entire selection process ending in appointments of the candidates, no useful purpose would

be served to examine the validity of the issuance of the advertisement which has already reached to its logical end, appointments already having been done, persons have been appointed and rights have been vested as per their selection.

3. In view thereof, the writ petition has been rendered otiose. However, the question raised is left open to be examined in appropriate matter.

4. All pending misc. application(s) also stand disposed of.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

24.11.2023.

rajesh

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?	:	Yes/No
2. Whether reportable?	:	Yes/No